

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

## MALED

NOV 1 9 2004

Shelton E. Harrison, Jr. 6643 Poplar Pike Memphis, TN 38119

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

In re application of

Shelton E. Harrison, Jr., et al.

Application No. 09/848,639

Filed: May 3, 2001

For:

**ELECTRONIC BOND & GUARANTY** 

PROCESS AND BUSINESS METHOD

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL
(INFRINGEMENT)

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) filed June 7, 2004 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The renewed petition filed June 7, 2004, taken with the petition filed February 23, 2004, meet all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

Randolph A. Reese

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 3600

(703) 308-2121

RAR/rwg: 11/18/04